

# Upper-division Student Understanding of Coulomb's Law: Difficulties with Continuous Charge Distributions Bethany Wilcox \*, Marcos Caballero \*, Rachel Pepper †, Steven Pollock \* \* University of Colorado Boulder, † University of California Berkeley



### Introduction

Previous work has shown that *students struggle to manipulate and make sense of the mathematics necessary to solve problems in physics* [1]. This is of particular concern at the upper-division level where the physics content demands increasing mathematical sophistication.

As part of broader course transformations of junior-level Electricity and Magnetism (E&M) [2], we investigated *upper-division students' difficulties using Coulomb's Law* to calculate the electric field, *E*, or electric potential, *V*, from a continuous charge distribution.

The *ACER Framework for the use of mathematics in physics* [3] provided an organizational structure to identify students' difficulties manipulating the integral expression of Coulomb's Law.

# The Course & Data Sources

### Junior-level E&M I

- Chapters 1-6 of Griffiths [4]
- Physics, engineering physics, and astrophysics majors.
- Transformed to include interactive engagement

#### Data Sources

- 4 semesters of student (N=172) solutions to a canonical exam question on continuous charge distributions (shown below)
- 5 one-on-one think-aloud interviews where students solved a slightly more advanced version of the exam question.

# The ACER Framework

**ACER** is a theoretical framework for the use of mathematical tools in context-rich physics problems which uses a researcher-guided outline describing the **Activation of the tool**, **Construction of the mathematical model**, **Execution of the mathematics**, and **Reflection on the result (ACER)**.

### Utilizing ACER

- The framework can be applied to a particular problem or a general class of problems using a specific mathematical tool.
- Here, we operationalize the framework for the exam question shown to the right.
  This outline can be extended to the broader category of problems involving the use of Coulomb's Law to calculate *E* or *V* from an arbitrary, static charge distribution.

# The Exam Question

Given a disk of radius *a* with surface charge density  $\sigma(\varphi) = \sigma_0 \cos\left(\frac{\varphi}{4}\right)$ , determine the electric potential on the z-axis, V(z).



## Activation of the Tool

This component of the framework involves the selection of a solution method.

#### Elements

The question asks for potential, *V*.

#### Findings

Roughly a quarter of the students (N=46 of 172) attempted to find *V* by first calculating *E*.
Of these, half (N=21)

#### Execution of the mathematics

This component of the framework deals with the mathematics required to compute a final expression.

#### Elements

 $\epsilon \sigma$  are constants

- Findings
- Half of the students who progressed far enough to solve one or more integrals (N=53 of 103), made mathematical errors.
- Slightly more than half of these

<ul> <li>The charge distribution is provided.</li> <li>Finite disk symmetry is not sufficient to use Gauss' Law.</li> <li>Direct calculation of V via Coulomb's Law is most efficient.</li> <li>V(r) = 1/(4\pi\epsilon_o) \iiii dq/(r - r')</li> </ul>	attempted to calculate <i>E</i> using Gauss' Law. Interviews did not investigate Activation.		- $\mathcal{E}_{o}, \sigma_{o}$ are constants - $z$ is a parameter - $r'$ and $\varphi'$ are variables $V(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_{o}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{a} \frac{\sigma_{o}\cos\left(\frac{\varphi'}{4}\right)}{\sqrt{r'^{2} + z^{2}}} r' dr' d\varphi'$ $= \frac{\sigma_{o}}{\pi\varepsilon_{o}} \left(\sqrt{a^{2} + z^{2}} - z\right)$			<ul> <li>students (N=31) made significant errors such as pulling integration variables outside of integrals.</li> <li>Of the 4 interview participants who progressed far enough, 2 made significant mathematical errors.</li> </ul>	
Construction of the Model	Findings			1	Reflection on the	Result	Findings
This component of the framework deals with the math-physics connection. Elements	Common difficulties with dq (t (bottom). Codes are not excluse Similar difficulties were observed Percentages are of just the stud difficulty with dq (N=64 of 15	op) an sive or ed in i dents 4	nd script-r exhaustive. nterviews. who had		This component of the involves verifying that the consistent with expectat	framework ne result is ions.	<ul> <li>Only a small number of students (N=13 of 154) made explicit</li> </ul>
- Select a coordinate system consistent with	Difficulty	N	Percent		Elements		attempts to reflect on
the geometry of the charge distribution.	Calculated total charge e.g. $dq = Q_{tot} dr dz rd\phi$	10	16		- Verify that the units an	e correct	<ul><li>their final expressions.</li><li>None of the interview</li></ul>
- Express the differential charge element, $uq$ - Select limits consistent with $dq$ and the	Not integrating over charges e.g. $dq = \sigma dr dz r d\phi$	39	61		- Check that the limiting consistent with $Q_{tot}$ and	g benavior is nd geometry.	participants made
physical system	Differential w/ wrong units	12	19		1 2σ.α	2 1 0	more than superficial
- Express the difference vector, $\vec{r} - \vec{r}'$	e.g. $dq = \sigma dr d\phi$				$V(z \rightarrow large) \sim \frac{1}{4\pi c} \frac{20_0 u}{\pi^2}$	$-=\frac{1}{4\pi c}\frac{Qtot}{\pi^2}$	attempts to reflect on
	Other	10	17		$4\pi\varepsilon_0 Z^-$	$4\pi\epsilon_0 Z^-$	their results.



Percentages are of just the stud	Percentages are of just the students who had							
difficulty with script-r ( $N=72$ of 154).								
Difficultu	NT	Detroot						

Difficulty		Percent
Ring of charge		38
e.g. script-r = $\sqrt{a^2 + z^2}$		
Distance to the source point	11	15
i.e. script- $r = r'$		
Distance to the field point	10	14
i.e. script- $r = z$		
Never expressed script-r	9	12
Other	15	21

## References

This work was supported by NSF DUE-1023028 and the CU UGGS. Special thank you to the members of PER@C for their feedback and support.

- 1. E. Redish, In Conf. Proc. World View on Physics Education in 2005: Focusing on World change (2009)
- 2. S. Chasteen et al., PERC Proc. 1064, 91-94 (2008)
- 3. M.D. Caballero et al., In Prep., PERC Proc. (2012)
- 4. D. J. Griffiths, Introduction to Electrodynamics, (1999)

# Summary & Implications

We found that our upper-division students had difficulty activating Coulomb's Law as a solution method and spontaneously reflecting on the result. Additionally, they struggle to synthesize conceptual and mathematical resources to construct mathematical expressions consistent with the physical descriptors of the problem. To address these difficulties, we advocate explicit emphasis which aspects of the problem cue the students to activate Coulomb's law as well as how and when to reflect on their solutions. Additionally, we recommend an increased focus on teaching students to construct the differential charge element and difference vector.